Irreducible Representations and Multi-Frequency Angular Synchronization

Tingran Gao

Committee on Computational and Applied Mathematics Department of Statistics University of Chicago

2019 Joint Statistical Meetings (ASA) Denver, CO

July 28, 2019



< □ ▶ < 큔 ▶ < 글 ▶ < 글 ▶ > 글 < 의 < 안 1/29

Outline

Motivation

Class Averaging and Phase Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

Outline

Motivation

Class Averaging and Phase Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- ► Theory

Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

Graph-Based Data Analysis





Two-dimensional Isomap embedding (with neighborhood graph).



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - 釣�?

4/29

Non-Scalar Edge Weights

$$d_{\mathrm{cP}}\left(S_{i},S_{j}\right) = \inf_{\mathcal{C}\in\mathcal{A}\left(S_{i},S_{j}\right)} \inf_{R\in\mathbb{E}(3)} \left(\int_{S_{i}} \|R\left(x\right)-\mathcal{C}\left(x\right)\|^{2} d\mathrm{vol}_{S_{i}}\left(x\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$



・ロト ・ 一戸 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ つ へ (や 5/29



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≧▶ ▲≧▶ = ● のへで

Cryo-Electron Microscopy



• Singer et al. "Viewing Angle Classification of Cryo-Electron Microscopy Images using Eigenvectors", SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 4 (2), pp. 543–572 (2011).

Cryo-Electron Microscopy: Real Challenge is Low SNR



Fig. 3 The left most image is a clean simulated projection image of the E.coli 50S ribosomal subunit. The other three images are real electron microscope images of the same subunit

It is imperative to apply class averaging in preprocessing!

• Hadani & Singer. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy II – The Class Averaging Problem," Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 11 (5), pp. 589–616 (2011).

Phase Synchronization

▶ **Problem:** Recover rotation angles $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in [0, 2\pi]$ from noisy measurements of their pairwise offsets

 $\theta_{ij} = \theta_i - \theta_j + \text{noise}$

for some or all pairs of (i, j)

 Examples: Class averaging in cryo-EM image analysis, shape registration and community detection



Phase Synchronization

▶ Setup: Phase vector $z = (e^{\iota\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\iota\theta_n})^\top \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$, noisy pairwise measurements in *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix

$$H_{ij} = egin{cases} e^{\iotaig(heta_i- heta_jig)} = z_i ar z_j & ext{with prob.} \ r\in[0,1] \ ext{Uniform}\left(\mathbb{C}_1
ight) & ext{with prob.} \ 1-r \end{cases}$$

and $H_{ij} = \overline{H_{ji}}$. This is known as a random corruption model.

- Goal: recover the true phase vector z (up to a global multiplicative factor)
- Existing method: Rank-1 recovery (e.g. convex relaxations)

$$\hat{x} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \in \mathbb{C}_1^n} \|xx^* - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \hat{x} := \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{x \in \mathbb{C}_1^n} x^* Hx$$

Phase Synchronization

▶ Setup: Phase vector $z = (e^{\iota\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\iota\theta_n})^\top \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$, noisy pairwise measurements in *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix

$$H_{ij} = egin{cases} e^{\iotaig(heta_i- heta_jig)} = z_i ar z_j & ext{with prob.} \ r\in[0,1] \ ext{Uniform}\left(\mathbb{C}_1
ight) & ext{with prob.} \ 1-r \end{cases}$$

and $H_{ij} = \overline{H_{ji}}$. This is known as a random corruption model.

- Goal: recover the true phase vector z (up to a global multiplicative factor)
- Spectral Relaxation: solve for the top eigenvector of H, denoted as x̃ (scaled to ||x̃||₂ = √n), then define x̂ ∈ C₁ⁿ by

$$\hat{x}_i := \tilde{x}_i / |\tilde{x}_i|$$

Outline

Motivation

Class Averaging and Phase Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □ ● のへの

11/29

► The rank-1 recovery formulation

$$\hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \min} \|xx^{*} - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \iff \hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \max} x^{*}Hx$$

does not fully exploit that entries of x and H are **phases**!

► The rank-1 recovery formulation $\hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \min} \|xx^{*} - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \iff \hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \max} x^{*} Hx$ does not fully exploit that entries of x and H are **phases**!

► Key Observation: Raising a phase to any power yields another phase! e^{ιθ} → e^{ιkθ}, k = 1, 2, ...

► The rank-1 recovery formulation $\hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|xx^{*} - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \iff \hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} x^{*}Hx$ does not fully exploit that entries of x and H are **phases**!

► Key Observation: Raising a phase to any power yields another phase! e^{ιθ} → e^{ιkθ}, k = 1, 2, ...

Solve a family of coupled matrix factorization problems jointly

 $\hat{x}^{(k)} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \max(x^{k})^{*}H^{(k)}x^{k}}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, k_{\max}$ where $x^{k} := (x_{1}^{k}, \dots, x_{n}^{k})^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$, and $H^{(k)}$ is the *n*-by-*n*Hermitian matrix with $H_{ij}^{(k)} := H_{ij}^{k}$, and then "stitch up" the
individual estimates $\hat{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{x}^{(k_{\max})}$ to recover \hat{x}

► The rank-1 recovery formulation $\hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \min} \|xx^{*} - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \iff \hat{x} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \max} x^{*}Hx$ does not fully exploit that entries of x and H are **phases**!

- ► Key Observation: Raising a phase to any power yields another phase! e^{ιθ} → e^{ιkθ}, k = 1, 2, ...
- Solve a family of coupled matrix factorization problems jointly

 $\hat{x}^{(k)} := \underset{x \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}}{\arg \max(x^{k})^{*}H^{(k)}x^{k}}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, k_{\max}$ where $x^{k} := (x_{1}^{k}, \dots, x_{n}^{k})^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}_{1}^{n}$, and $H^{(k)}$ is the *n*-by-*n*Hermitian matrix with $H_{ij}^{(k)} := H_{ij}^{k}$, and then "stitch up" the
individual estimates $\hat{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{x}^{(k_{\max})}$ to recover \hat{x}

The last step strives to recover θ from (noisy) measured phases e^{ιθ},..., e^{ιkmaxθ}, which is a simplified version of the harmonic retrieval problem

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Formulation:

$$\max_{x\in\mathbb{C}_1^n}\sum_{k=1}^{k_{\max}}(x^k)^*H^{(k)}x^k$$

where $x^k := (x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k)^\top \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$, and $H^{(k)}$ is the *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix with $H_{ij}^{(k)} := H_{ij}^k$

- Intuition: Matching higher trigonometric moments
- Two-stage Algorithm: (i) Good initialization (ii) Local methods e.g. gradient descent or (generalized) power iteration

Fix
$$k_{\max} \ge 1$$
, build $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$ out of $H = H^{(1)}$

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り < ○ 14/29

Fix
$$k_{\max} \ge 1$$
, build $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$ out of $H = H^{(1)}$

• For each $k = 1, \ldots, k_{\max}$, solve the subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

using any convex relaxation, and set $W^{(k)} := u^{(k)} (u^{(k)})^*$

- Fix $k_{\max} \ge 1$, build $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$ out of $H = H^{(1)}$
- For each $k = 1, \ldots, k_{\max}$, solve the subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

using any convex relaxation, and set W^(k) := u^(k) (u^(k))*
For all 1 ≤ i, j ≤ n, find the "peak location" of the spectrogram

$$\hat{ heta}_{ij} := rgmax_{\phi \in [0,2\pi]} \left| rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-k_{\max}}^{k_{\max}} W_{ij}^{(k)} e^{-\iota k \phi}
ight.$$

<ロ><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><0<○<14/29

Fix
$$k_{\max} \ge 1$$
, build $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$ out of $H = H^{(1)}$

• For each $k = 1, \ldots, k_{\max}$, solve the subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

using any convex relaxation, and set W^(k) := u^(k) (u^(k))*
For all 1 ≤ i, j ≤ n, find the "peak location" of the spectrogram

$$\hat{ heta}_{jj} := rgmax_{\phi \in [0,2\pi]} \left| rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-k_{\max}}^{k_{\max}} W_{ij}^{(k)} e^{-\iota k \phi}
ight.$$

• Entrywise normalize the top eigenvector \tilde{x} of Hermitian matrix \widehat{H} , defined by $\widehat{H}_{ij} = e^{\iota \widehat{\theta}_{ij}}$, to get $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$

How well does it work? Evaluate correlation $|Corr(\hat{x}, z)|$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

15/29

Outline

Motivation

Class Averaging and Phase Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □ ● のへの

16/29

Theory Now — Strong Recovery

Theorem (Gao & Zhao 2019). With all (mild) assumptions satisfied, with high probability the multi-frequency phase synchronization algorithm produces an estimate \hat{x} satisfying

$$\operatorname{Corr}(\hat{x}, z) \geq 1 - \frac{C'}{k_{\max}^2}$$

provided that

$$k_{\max} > \max\left\{5, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi\left(1 - 4C_2\sigma\sqrt{\log n/n}\right) - 2}\right\}$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Corr}(\hat{x}, z) \to 1$ as $k_{\max} \to \infty$.

 Tingran Gao and Zhizhen Zhao, "Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization." Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 97:2132–2141, 2019.

<ロト
・ロト
・日

Why does it work?

By a perturbation analysis, after solving each subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

we expect $\widehat{W}^{(k)} = u^{(k)}(u^{(k)})^* = z^k(z^k)^* + E^{(k)} \approx z^k(z^k)^*$

The peak finding step is expected to ensure

$$\hat{\theta}_{ij} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\phi \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\max}} W_{ij}^{(k)} e^{-\iota k \phi} \right|$$

$$\approx \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\phi \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\max}} z_i^k \bar{z}_j^k e^{-\iota k \phi} \right| = \theta_i - \theta_j$$

provided that $E^{(k)}$ does not "perturb away" the maximum!

<□ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ < つ < ○ 18/29</p>



□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶



□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

Key Observation:
$$\left| \hat{ heta}_{ij} - (heta_i - heta_j)
ight| \leq heta_* < rac{4\pi}{2k_{ ext{max}}+1}$$
 whenever

$$2k_{\max} + 1 - \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_*/2)} + \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty}$$



□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

Key Observation:
$$\left| \hat{\theta}_{ij} - (\theta_i - \theta_j) \right| \le \theta_* < \frac{4\pi}{2k_{\max}+1}$$
 whenever
 $2k_{\max} + 1 - \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{\sin(\theta_*/2)} + \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty}$

which is satisfied if

$$2k_{\max} + 1 - \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty} > \frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2k_{\max}+1}\right)} + \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \left\|E_{ij}^{(k)}\right\|_{\infty} \sim \frac{1}{k_{\max}} \|R_{k_{\max}}\|_{\infty} < 1 - \frac{1}{2k_{\max}} \frac{1}{2k_{\max}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2k_{\max}+1}\right)$$
We just need a uniform upper bound for the $E_{ij}^{(k)}$'s!

Eigenvector perturbation analysis in ℓ_{∞} -norm!

- Standard perturbation bounds use ℓ₂-norm (e.g. Davis–Kahan), but most application scenarios of spectral methods require bounding the ℓ_∞-norm
- Active research area in recent years, e.g. [Eldridge et al. (2017)]; [Abbe et al. (2017)]; [Fan et al. (2018)]; [Zhong & Boumal (2018)]
- Sharpest results to date use a "leave-one-out" trick popularized by statisticians

Lemma. For any $0 < \epsilon \le 2$, with probability at least $1 - O(n^{-(2+\epsilon)})$, there exists absolute constant C > 0 such that

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{E}_{ij}^{(k)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq C \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$$

• Tingran Gao and Zhizhen Zhao, "Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization." Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 97:2132–2141, 2019.

Putting Everything Together

Theorem (Gao & Zhao 2019). With all (mild) assumptions satisfied, with high probability the multi-frequency phase synchronization algorithm produces an estimate \hat{x} satisfying

$$\operatorname{Corr}(\hat{x}, z) \geq 1 - \frac{C'}{k_{\max}^2}$$

provided that

$$k_{\max} > \max\left\{5, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi\left(1 - 4C_2\sigma\sqrt{\log n/n}\right) - 2}\right\}$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Corr}(\hat{x}, z) \to 1$ as $k_{\max} \to \infty$.

 Tingran Gao and Zhizhen Zhao, "Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization." Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 97:2132–2141, 2019.

Detour: Multi-Frequency Synchronization over SO(3)

Peter–Weyl:
$$f(g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{f}(k) \rho_k(g) \right], \ \forall f \in L^2(\mathrm{SO}(3))$$

Random corruption model:

$$g_{ij} = \begin{cases} g_i g_j^{-1} & \text{with probability } r \\ \text{Unif (SO(3))} & \text{with probability } 1 - r \end{cases}$$

- ► Use spectral methods to estimate ρ₁(g_{ij}),..., ρ_{kmax}(g_{ij}), denote Ĥ^(k)_{ij} for the estimator of ρ_k(g_{ij})
- ► Solve a generalized harmonic retrieval problem on SO(3):

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = rgmax_{g \in \mathrm{SO}(3)} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\mathrm{max}}} d_k \mathrm{Tr} \left[\widehat{H}_{ij}^{(k)} \rho_k^* \left(g
ight)
ight]$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ・ モ ・ モ ・ つくぐ

Works fantastic in practice, but no theory yet!

Outline

Motivation

Class Averaging and Phase Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □ ● のへの

25/29

Multi-Frequency Information Improves Class Averaging



• Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," *submitted*. arxiv:1906.01082.

<ロ > < 団 > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > ○ < ♡ < ○ 26/29

Multi-Frequency Information Improves Class Averaging



• Yifeng Fan & Zhizhen Zhao. "Cryo-Electron Microscopy Image Analysis Using Multi-Frequency Vector Diffusion Maps," preprint. arXiv:1904.07772.

• Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," *submitted*. arxiv:1906.01082.

Open Problems

- Landscape and convergence analysis for the Stage 2 algorithm?
- ► Algorithm works for SO(3) seamlessly, but theory?
- Possible extension to other synchronization and multireference alignment problems over compact/noncompact Lie groups?
- Mult-frequency vector diffusion maps?
- A learning paradigm on sheaves?

Thank You!



• Tingran Gao and Zhizhen Zhao, "Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization." Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 97:2132–2141, 2019.

• Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, and Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," *submitted*. arxiv:1906.01082.