Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization and Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy

> Committee on Computational and Applied Mathematics Department of Statistics University of Chicago

> > IPAM Geometry and Learning Culminating Workshop Lake Arrowhead CA

> > > June 11, 2019

# Outline

### **Motivation**

Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Phase Synchronization
- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

### Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

# Outline

### Motivation

Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Phase Synchronization
- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

## Graph-Based Data Analysis





Two-dimensional Isomap embedding (with neighborhood graph).



# Non-Scalar Edge Weights

$$d_{\mathrm{cP}}\left(S_{i},S_{j}\right) = \inf_{\mathcal{C}\in\mathcal{A}\left(S_{i},S_{j}\right)} \inf_{R\in\mathbb{E}(3)} \left(\int_{S_{i}} \|R\left(x\right)-\mathcal{C}\left(x\right)\|^{2} d\mathrm{vol}_{S_{i}}\left(x\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

Si



# Cryo-Electron Microscopy



• Singer et al. "Viewing Angle Classification of Cryo-Electron Microscopy Images using Eigenvectors", SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 4 (2), pp. 543–572 (2011).

# Cryo-Electron Microscopy: Real Challenge is Low SNR



Fig. 3 The left most image is a clean simulated projection image of the E.coli 50S ribosomal subunit. The other three images are real electron microscope images of the same subunit

It is imperative to apply class averaging in preprocessing!

 Hadani & Singer. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy II – The Class Averaging Problem," Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 11 (5), pp. 589–616 (2011).

# Synchronization Problems

Data:

- graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$
- ▶ topological group G, equipped with a norm ||·||, and a G-module F
- edge potential  $\rho: E \to G$  satisfying  $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji}^{-1}, \ \forall (i,j) \in E$
- ► Goal:
  - find a vertex potential  $f: V \to G$  or F such that

$$f_i = \rho_{ij}f_j, \quad \forall (i,j) \in E$$

- The goal can be achieved if and only if  $\rho_{ij} = f_i f_i^{-1}$
- Not always feasible!

# Synchronization Problems

Data:

- graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$
- ▶ topological group G, equipped with a norm ||·||, and a G-module F
- edge potential  $\rho: E \to G$  satisfying  $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji}^{-1}, \ \forall (i,j) \in E$
- ► Goal:
  - find a vertex potential  $f: V \to G$  or F such that

$$f_i = \rho_{ij}f_j, \quad \forall (i,j) \in E$$

- The goal can be achieved if and only if  $\rho_{ij} = f_i f_i^{-1}$
- Not always feasible!
- If infeasible, find the "closest solution" in the sense of

$$\min_{\substack{f:V \to G \\ \|f\| \neq 0}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{i,j \in V} \|f_i - \rho_{ij}f_j\|^2}{\sum_{i \in V} \|f_i\|^2} (=: \eta(f))$$

# Outline

### Motivation

Synchronization

### **Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization**

- Phase Synchronization
- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

### Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

## Phase Synchronization

▶ **Problem:** Recover rotation angles  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in [0, 2\pi]$ from noisy measurements of their pairwise offsets

 $\theta_{ij} = \theta_i - \theta_j + \text{noise}$ 

for some or all pairs of (i, j)

 Examples: Class averaging in cryo-EM image analysis, shape registration and community detection



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

## Phase Synchronization

▶ **Setup**: Phase vector  $z = (e^{\iota\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{\iota\theta_n})^\top \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$ , noisy pairwise measurements in *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix

$$H_{ij} = egin{cases} e^{\iota ig( heta_i - heta_jig)} = z_i ar z_j & ext{with prob. } r \in [0, 1] \ ext{Uniform} ig(\mathbb{C}_1ig) & ext{with prob. } 1 - r \end{cases}$$

and  $H_{ij} = \overline{H_{ji}}$ . This is known as a random corruption model.

- Goal: recover the true phase vector z (up to a global multiplicative factor)
- Existing method: Rank-1 recovery (e.g. convex relaxations)

$$\hat{x} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \in \mathbb{C}_1^n} \|xx^* - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \hat{x} := \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{x \in \mathbb{C}_1^n} x^* H x$$

## Phase Synchronization

Setup: Phase vector z = (e<sup>ιθ1</sup>,..., e<sup>ιθn</sup>)<sup>T</sup> ∈ C<sup>n</sup><sub>1</sub>, noisy pairwise measurements in *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix

$$H_{ij} = \begin{cases} e^{\iota \left(\theta_i - \theta_j\right)} = z_i \bar{z}_j & \text{with prob. } r \in [0, 1] \\ \text{Uniform} \left(\mathbb{C}_1\right) & \text{with prob. } 1 - r \end{cases}$$

and  $H_{ij} = \overline{H_{ji}}$ . This is known as a random corruption model.

- Goal: recover the true phase vector z (up to a global multiplicative factor)
- Spectral Relaxation: solve for the top eigenvector of H, denoted as x̃ (scaled to ||x̃||<sub>2</sub> = √n), then define x̂ ∈ C<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup> by

$$\hat{x}_i := \tilde{x}_i / |\tilde{x}_i|$$

# Outline

### Motivation

Synchronization

### **Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization**

- Phase Synchronization
- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

### Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

#### Multi-Frequency Formulation:

$$\max_{x\in\mathbb{C}_1^n}\sum_{k=1}^{k_{\max}}(x^k)^*H^{(k)}x^k$$

where  $x^k := (x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k)^\top \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$ , and  $H^{(k)}$  is the *n*-by-*n* Hermitian matrix with  $H_{ij}^{(k)} := H_{ij}^k$ 

- Intuition: Matching higher trigonometric moments
- Two-stage Algorithm: (i) Good initialization (ii) Local methods e.g. gradient descent or (generalized) power iteration

• Fix  $k_{\max} \ge 1$ , build  $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$  out of  $H = H^{(1)}$ 

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

- Fix  $k_{\max} \ge 1$ , build  $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$  out of  $H = H^{(1)}$
- For each  $k = 1, \ldots, k_{\max}$ , solve the subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

using any convex relaxation, and set  $W^{(k)} := u^{(k)} (u^{(k)})^*$ 

- Fix  $k_{\max} \ge 1$ , build  $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$  out of  $H = H^{(1)}$
- ▶ For each  $k = 1, ..., k_{\max}$ , solve the subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

using any convex relaxation, and set  $W^{(k)} := u^{(k)} \left( u^{(k)} \right)^*$ 

For all 1 ≤ i, j ≤ n, find the "peak location" of the spectrogram

$$\hat{ heta}_{ij} := rg\max_{\phi \in [0,2\pi]} \left| rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-k_{\max}}^{k_{\max}} W_{ij}^{(k)} e^{-\iota k \phi} 
ight.$$

- Fix  $k_{\max} \ge 1$ , build  $H^{(2)}, \ldots, H^{(k_{\max})}$  out of  $H = H^{(1)}$
- ▶ For each  $k = 1, ..., k_{\max}$ , solve the subproblem

$$u^{(k)} := \underset{v \in \mathbb{C}_1^n}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} v^* H^{(k)} v$$

using any convex relaxation, and set  $W^{(k)} := u^{(k)} \left( u^{(k)} \right)^*$ 

For all 1 ≤ i, j ≤ n, find the "peak location" of the spectrogram

$$\hat{ heta}_{ij} := rgmax_{\phi \in [0,2\pi]} \left| rac{1}{2} \sum_{k=-k_{ ext{max}}}^{k_{ ext{max}}} W_{ij}^{(k)} e^{-\iota k \phi} 
ight|$$

• Entrywise normalize the top eigenvector  $\tilde{x}$  of Hermitian matrix  $\hat{H}$ , defined by  $\hat{H}_{ij} = e^{\iota \hat{\theta}_{ij}}$ , to get  $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$ 

## How well does it work? Evaluate correlation $|Corr(\hat{x}, z)|$



Random Corruption Model,  $r = \lambda / \sqrt{n}$ 

# Outline

### Motivation

Synchronization

### **Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization**

- Phase Synchronization
- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

#### Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Noise Models

#### Additive Gaussian Noise Model

$$H = zz^* + \sigma W$$

where W is a standard complex Wigner matrix with i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian entries above the diagonal

#### Random Corruption Model

$$H_{ij} = egin{cases} z_i ar z_j & ext{with prob. } r \in [0,1] \ ext{Uniform} \left( ext{U}(1) 
ight) & ext{with prob. } 1-r \end{cases}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Previous Theory — Weak Recovery

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{C}_1^n} \|xx^* - H\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{C}_1^n} x^* Hx$$

- Nonconvex problem, can be attacked using convex (spectral or SDP) relaxation or nonconvex methods
- ▶ For additive Gaussian noise, convex relaxation almost recovers the ground truth  $z \in \mathbb{C}_1^n$  with high probability for noise level up to  $\sigma = O\left(\sqrt{n/\log n}\right)$  [Zhong & Boumal (2018)]; non-rigorous statistical-physics-based methods predict the same holds up to  $\sigma = O\left(\sqrt{n}\right)$  [Javanmard et al. (2016)]
- For random corruption model, **[Singer (2011)]** argues that  $|Corr(\tilde{x}, z)| > 1/\sqrt{n}$  with high probability if  $r > 1/\sqrt{n}$

### Theory Now — Strong Recovery

**Theorem (Gao & Zhao 2019).** With all (mild) assumptions satisfied, with high probability the multi-frequency phase synchronization algorithm produces an estimate  $\hat{x}$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{Corr}(\hat{x}, z) \geq 1 - \frac{C'}{k_{\max}^2}$$

provided that

$$k_{\max} > \max\left\{5, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi\left(1 - 4C_2\sigma\sqrt{\log n/n}\right) - 2}\right\}$$

In particular,  $\operatorname{Corr}(\hat{x}, z) \to 1$  as  $k_{\max} \to \infty$ .

• Tingran Gao and Zhizhen Zhao, "Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization." Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 97:2132–2141, 2019.

# Outline

#### Motivation

Synchronization

Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization

- Phase Synchronization
- Multi-Frequency Formulation
- Theory

#### **Application to Multi-Frequency Class Averaging**

Joint work with Yifeng Fan (UIUC) & Zhizhen Zhao (UIUC)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# **Class Averaging**

- ► Goal: Classify cryo-EM images *l*<sub>1</sub>, *l*<sub>2</sub>,... according to their viewing directions
- Procedure:
  - Compute the rotation-invariant distance between all pairs of images d<sub>RID</sub> (I<sub>i</sub>, I<sub>j</sub>) := min<sub>α∈[0,2π]</sub> ||I<sub>i</sub> − e<sup>ια</sup>I<sub>j</sub>||<sub>F</sub>, and denote α<sub>ij</sub> for the optimal alignment angle
  - ▶ Fix threshold  $\epsilon > 0$  and define Hermitian  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  by

$$W_{ij} := \begin{cases} \exp(\iota \alpha_{ij}) & \text{if } d_{\text{RID}}(I_i, I_j) < \epsilon \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Solve for the top 3 eigenvectors ψ<sub>1</sub>, ψ<sub>2</sub>, ψ<sub>3</sub> of W, which embeds I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>,... into ℝ<sup>3</sup>
- ► Use correlation in the embedded R<sup>3</sup> space to determine the closeness between viewing directions

<sup>•</sup> Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," preprint. arXiv:1906.01082.

# Multi-Frequency Class Averaging

- ► Goal: Classify cryo-EM images *I*<sub>1</sub>, *I*<sub>2</sub>,... according to their viewing directions
- Procedure:
  - Compute the rotation-invariant distance between all pairs of images d<sub>RID</sub> (I<sub>i</sub>, I<sub>j</sub>) := min<sub>α∈[0,2π]</sub> ||I<sub>i</sub> − e<sup>ια</sup>I<sub>j</sub>||<sub>F</sub>, and denote α<sub>ij</sub> for the optimal alignment angle
  - ▶ Fix threshold  $\epsilon > 0$  and define Hermitian  $W \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  by

$$\mathcal{W}_{ij}^{(k)} := egin{cases} \exp\left(\iota k lpha_{ij}
ight) & ext{if } d_{ ext{RID}}\left(I_i,I_j
ight) < \epsilon \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Solve for the top 2k + 1 eigenvectors  $\psi_1^{(k)}, \dots, \psi_{2k+1}^{(k)}$  of  $W^{(k)}$ , which embeds  $l_1, l_2, \dots$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$
- ► Use all correlations in the embedded R<sup>2k+1</sup> (k = 1,..., k<sub>max</sub>) spaces to determine the closeness between viewing directions

<sup>•</sup> Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," preprint. arXiv:1906.01082.

## Why 2k + 1? Some Representation Theoretic Patterns

► (G., Fan, Zhao 2019) For sufficiently large sample size n and appropriately small ε > 0, the top eigenspace of W<sup>(k)</sup> is (2k + 1)-dimensional, and the spectral gap grows linearly in k:

$$\lambda_k^{(k)} - \lambda_{k+1}^{(k)} \sim \frac{1+k}{4} \epsilon^2$$

• Larger  $k \Rightarrow$  larger spectral gap  $\Rightarrow$  better numerical stability!

• Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," preprint. arXiv:1906.01082.

More Representation Theoretic Patterns.....

 (G., Fan, Zhao 2019) The viewing angle θ<sub>ij</sub> between image i and image j satisfies

$$\left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{2k+1} \psi_{\ell}^{(k)}(i) \,\overline{\psi_{\ell}^{(k)}(j)}\right| = \left(\frac{1+\cos\theta_{ij}}{2}\right)^{k}$$

- Larger  $k \Rightarrow$  easier thresholding
- ► Can also jointly use k = 1, · · · , k<sub>max</sub> to construct polynomial filters for cos θ<sub>ij</sub>

Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," preprint. arXiv:1906.01082.

## Multi-Frequency Information Improves Class Averaging



 Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," preprint. arXiv:1906.01082.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

# Multi-Frequency Information Improves Class Averaging



• Yifeng Fan & Zhizhen Zhao. "Cryo-Electron Microscopy Image Analysis Using Multi-Frequency Vector Diffusion Maps," preprint. arXiv:1904.07772.

• Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," preprint. arXiv:1906.01082.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# **Open Problems**

- Landscape and convergence analysis for the Stage 2 algorithm?
- ► Algorithm works for SO(3) seamlessly, but theory?
- Possible extension to other synchronization and multireference alignment problems over compact/noncompact Lie groups?

- Mult-frequency vector diffusion maps?
- A learning paradigm on sheaves?

## Thank You!



• Tingran Gao and Zhizhen Zhao, "Multi-Frequency Phase Synchronization." Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR 97:2132–2141, 2019.

• Tingran Gao, Yifeng Fan, and Zhizhen Zhao. "Representation Theoretic Patterns in Multi-Frequency Class Averaging for Three-Dimensional Cryo-Electron Microscopy," arxiv:1906.01082.

(日)、

э